

His Excellency [AMBASSADOR NAME]
Ambassador And Permanent Representative
[COUNTRY] Mission To The United Nations
[MISSION ADDRESS]
885 Second Avenue
New York, NY 10017

December 14, 2007

Ref: Proposed New Amendment to the Civil Aspects of The Hague Convention Regarding International Child Support Enforcement and Its Relationship With The Abuse, Atrocities and Violations of Human Rights, International Treaties and Charters¹ And Its Own Laws And Constitution² by the United States and its Judiciary.

WARNING: SIGNING AND RATIFYING ANY FAMILY AND CHILD-RELATED CONVENTION OR TREATY WITH THE UNITED STATES AS A PARTY STATE WILL UNLAWFULLY AND UNDULY ENDANGER AND PENALIZE YOUR CITIZENS AND NATIONALS INVOLVED IN CHILD CUSTODY AND SUPPORT AND RESULT IN DESTRUCTION OF THEIR FAMILIES AND DEPRIVATION OF THEIR CHILDREN, AND RENDER THEIR CHILDREN FATHERLESS/MOTHERLESS AND EVEN SUBJECT YOUR CITIZENS TO UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AS IT IS THE ROUTINE PRACTICE IN THE USA (JUDGES AND COURTS MONETARILY PROFITING FROM THE PROCESS) PERPETRATED UPON ITS OWN CITIZENS AND RESIDENTS WHEREBY UNLAWFUL CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS ARE FRAUDULENTLY IMPOSED UPON LOVING AND FIT FATHERS AND MOTHERS. FATHERS AND MOTHERS (LEGITIMATELY OR OTHERWISE) BEING PERCEIVED AS FAILING TO PAY CHILD SUPPORT IN THE USA HAVE BEEN AND ROUTINE ARE SUBJECTED TO PRISON SENTENCES (FOR YEARS SOMETIMES), ABUSE AND TORTURE. EXTRADITING YOUR CITIZENS TO THE USA ON THE GROUNDS OF CHILD SUPPORT "NON-PAYMENT" WOULD SUBJECT THEM TO PRISON SENTENCE AND TORTURE. HOW COULD ANY COUNTRY SIGN ON KNOWING THIS WOULD HAPPEN TO THEIR CITIZENS!?!...

You are requested to forthwith inform your delegates to the Hague Convention of the facts herein, & advise your government to refrain from ratifying said amendments as they stand.

See below for US courts benefiting from making children fatherless/motherless for "child support" profit, and also <http://webpages.charter.net/lah1321/>.

¹ Including, but not limited to: THE HAGUE CONVENTION REGARDING ABDUCTION OF CHILDREN, INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF CHILDREN, UNITED NATION INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS, THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS.

² "That it is the view of the United States that States Party to the Covenant should wherever possible refrain from imposing any restrictions or limitations on the exercise of the rights recognized and protected by the Covenant, even when such restrictions and limitations are permissible under the terms of the Covenant. For the United States, article 5, paragraph 2, which provides that fundamental human rights existing in any State Party may not be diminished ". "The United States declares that it will continue to adhere to the requirements and constraints of its Constitution in respect to all such restrictions and limitations. (3) That the United States declares that the right referred to in article 47 may be exercised only in accordance with international law." UN Charter 77 (signed 10.05.1977, Date receipt of Inst. 06.08.1992).

Your Excellency,

We the undersigned are writing to inform, caution and ask your government to refrain from approving, signing and ratifying the proposed amendments to the Civil Aspects of The Hague Convention regarding International “Child Support” Enforcement, indeed any new treaties and amendments, that involves the United States as a party State. The reason simply being that the United States has not only knowingly and persistently been and continues to violate, misuse and abuse the provisions of the international treaties and conventions (fn 1), but also it has been and continues to fraudulently use the Child Protection and Support laws, including the Hague Convention, to perpetrate social engineering and commit fraud in conjunction with the governments of its 50 federated states resulting in 100,000.00s of children being, forcibly or through unlawful and unconstitutional judicial orders, separated and alienated from their fit and loving parents (primarily their fathers) in order that those very judiciary (who unlawfully and with conflict of interest issue the said separation orders creating “custodial” and “non-custodial” parents instead of allowing children to benefit and enjoy their natural right to BOTH parents even when the latter are divorced), along with their respective state officials benefit from a federal incentive scheme that provides federal kick-backs to each state, its officials (county prosecutors) and “family” court judges whenever they deprive a parent of equal custody, i.e. artificially creating an adversarial environment between parents in which the only beneficiaries are the courts and the state officials and judges (collectively, the “beneficiaries”). The most severely hurt are the children who are deprived of one of their (fit) parents (mainly the father who is made the “non-custodial” parent with limited “visitation” in order to create more income and incentive for the said beneficiaries. Typically less “visitation” means more “child support” payment, and higher resulting kick-back to states). The beneficiaries, who artificially create the very condition of “custodial” - “non-custodial” parents and deprive children of a fit parent, benefit³ financially from such deprivation. This draconian and feudal system, which is at the very least fraudulent and unconstitutional (re US' own Constitution, and international charters), is a means of creating immoral profit by the said

³US federal “incentive” kick-back per state typically amounts to \$100,000,000.00s annually. Therefore, the lure of greed of state officials and “family” judges, especially in view of their corrupt nature with almost unrestrained power, is very strong and deprives children of having BOTH their parents, and deprives parents of their children, all for the kick-back money.

As an example, in the state of Indiana the kick-back “earned”, through the misery of children and families is divided up as follows: 22.2% goes to the county “family” court and pension plans of very judges who separate the children from one (fit) parent in order to create a child support scheme, 34.4% goes to the county prosecutors who prosecute and incarcerate “non-custodial” parent unlawfully for contrived default on payment, 22.2% of goes to the county general budget which administers this draconian and feudal system. The rest is for general disbursement.

beneficiaries at the expense of depriving children of loving and fit parents. Such state sponsored terrorism and child kidnapping by the US and the said beneficiaries perpetrated upon families in the US are incorporated in the US Codes (**42 U.S.C. 655 et seq.**, commonly known as “**Title IV-D**”) whereby the (50) states and their “family” courts, judges and officials are enticed into creating one-parent children and breaking up homes as, under the said Codes, states receive reimbursement/incentive money for creating “custodial” - “non-custodial” parents (even when both divorced parties are fit parents and deserve and have a right to equal parenting). Hence, artificially generating child support enforcement cases.

THE RESULT OF TITLE IV-D POLICY ON SOCIETY

Long ago, the US society started feeling the destructive results of the above Title-IV-D policy whereby not only the said policy and law resulted in children being deprived of one of their (fit) parents, but combined with the “No-Default” divorce policy in most of the 50 states, it resulted in greater marriage and family break ups for financial profit encouraged by unscrupulous beneficiaries in “family” courts. This is leading to the destruction of the fabric of the society in the US which is NOT the image presented to the outside world. As a result the US society has turned into a gulag of dysfunctional families with results of such “child support” policies and enforcements that include, but are not limited to the following, and which your citizens and nationals are highly likely to experience in a child custody and “support” enforcement case in a US court, if the proposed Hague amendment is ratified:

- 1- discriminatory deprivation of EQUAL parental rights of your citizens to the custody and upbringing of their children, and children's rights to enjoy life equally with BOTH parents even when the latter are divorced. Vast majority of “family” courts in the US would not even allow private and amicable arrangements between divorcing parents because a private arrangement or an equal custody arrangement that involves no court ordered support enforcement would deprive the beneficiaries (the very same court, and other officials) of their kick-back incentive (order of \$100,000,000.00s annually for each state of 50 states! *Strong incentive to destroy families!)
- 2- discriminatory assignment of “child support” against your citizens (especially if they are fathers) by US courts, and almost automatically and as a matter of course depriving your citizens of his/her parental rights and equal custody of his/her children, hence generating their kick-backs.
- 3- inordinately large number of single-parent families and children whose other “non-

custodial” parents have been forcibly removed from their lives because of kick-back incentives sought by US courts.

4- absence of involvement of one (“non-custodial”) parent resulting in additional monetary and cost burden on the social services required by the single-parent family whereby the other parent has been removed from the children's lives through the said kick-back policy. Alienation of children against the “non-custodial” parent for the beneficiaries' monetary gain encouraged by the US “family” courts' kick-back motivations.

5- increase in crime rate in society because one fit parent and a role model has been removed from the child's life in order to create the kick-back money. In many relationships US courts have tipped the balance and caused break ups in which reconciliation would have otherwise resulted.

6- experience in US “family” courts show it is almost a forgone conclusion, irrespective of merits of the case, for a non-US citizen to lose custody and rights to his/her child and have support, usually unlawful, assessed against him/her. After all, this is a source of revenue for the very judges who determine such cases.

7- as the incentive kick-back system depends upon “child support” enforcement, there is a strong incentive to unlawfully, unfairly, egregiously and repetitively increase it in order to create additional “enforcement” cases. This, in too many instances, results in unlawful and unconstitutional incarceration of the “non-custodial” parent (yes, very likely a similar fate awaits your citizens, through a US “family” court order). Hence, creating not only a gulag of dysfunctional families, but also one of multitude of fit and respectable parents who are criminalised, as is the case in the United States, and leaving your citizens and their liberty (through extradition and other punitive actions) at the mercy of the vagaries and greed of US “family” court system.

WHAT ACTION YOUR GOVERNMENT MAY TAKE

A- unless and until the US government repeals its Title IV-D Codes and arrangements (a trap sitting in waiting for your citizens) regarding the monetary incentive in “child support” enforcement with its 50 states in this regard, your government should refrain from signing any treaties with the United States, especially if it involves family, children, custody, and their support issues. The US has already shown its disdain for the international laws and treaties it has signed and ratified, even for its own Constitution and laws. Your citizens are victims in waiting vis-a-vis

child custody and support matters in a US “family” court.

B- build into any treaty and convention with the United States as a party state water-tight safeguards against such abuse by the US “family” courts and do not allow automatic acceptance of any US “family” court decision without examination of each case by a judicial court of your own country ensuring that the US court decisions live up to the international standards and norms of human rights, fairness and family and child protection, and that your citizens' children are not mere pawns for the immoral profit that US courts, judges and officials hope to reap from their fatherlessness/motherlessness. And certainly, do not agree with any amendment that might conceivably include extradition possibilities to the US where “child support” enforcement is concerned given the unsafe, unlawful and fraudulent “support” orders thus issued by US “family” courts to ensure their own incentive.

Should you require further information, evidence and testimonies of multitudes of victims (mothers, fathers, and children) of US “family” enforcement orders, regarding any of the above issues and experience in the US “family” courts, please do not hesitate to contact the email address (diquark1000@yahoo.com) or visit the (above) web site <http://webpages.charter.net/lah1321/>.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Amir H. Sanjari
Director & National Board Member
United Civil Rights Councils of America
<http://unitedcivilrights.org>

Indiana Civil Rights Council
<http://www.indianacrc.org>

Amongst co-signatories to this letter include, but not limited to:

--