

## BIFURCATION AND NORMAL FORM OF NONLINEAR CONTROL SYSTEMS, PART II\*

WEI KANG<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** The normal forms and invariants of control systems with a parameter are found. Bifurcations of equilibrium sets are classified. The changes of properties such as controllability of the linearization or stabilizability near a bifurcation point of a control system are studied.

**Key words.** nonlinear systems, bifurcations, normal forms, invariants, linearly controllable, stabilizable

**AMS subject classifications.** 93C10, 93C15

**PII.** S036301299529029X

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we continue the study of bifurcation problems formulated in Part I [10]. We focus on systems with a parameter. Comparing with the results in Part I, systems with a parameter have three types of normal forms instead of two. Another difference is that, in the presence of a parameter, the equilibrium sets are not curves. In fact, they are two-dimensional surfaces in the state-parameter space. In general, there always exists a curve on the equilibrium set such that the system is not linearly controllable at any point on it.

The following nonlinear system with parameter  $\mu$  is considered:

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{\xi} = f(\xi, \mu) + g(\xi, \mu)v.$$

The variable  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state,  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  is the input variable, and the parameter is  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . The vector fields  $f(\xi, \mu)$  and  $g(\xi, \mu)$  are assumed to be  $C^k$  for some sufficiently large  $k$ . Our attention is focused on local bifurcation near the origin  $(\xi, \mu) = (0, 0)$ . Assume

$$f(0, 0) = 0, \quad g(0, 0) \neq 0.$$

Following Part I, the equilibrium set  $E$  is defined to be

$$(1.2) \quad E = \{(x, \mu) | \exists v_0 \text{ such that } f(x, \mu) + g(x, \mu)v_0 = 0\}.$$

The linearization of the system at the origin is  $(A, B)$

$$A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0), \quad B = g(0, 0).$$

If the system is linearly controllable at  $\xi = 0$ ,  $\mu = 0$ , then the system is linearly controllable for all equilibrium points in  $E$  near  $(x, \mu) = (0, 0)$ . Therefore, Questions 1–3 formulated in Part I are interesting only if (1.1) is not linearly controllable at the origin. Similar to Part I, we always assume

*Assumption.*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} = n - 1.$$

\*Received by the editors August 14, 1995; accepted for publication (in revised form) October 31, 1996. Research supported in part by AFOSR-95-1-0169.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sicon/36-1/29029.html>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 93943 (wkang@math.nps.navy.mil).